

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/308,913	05/26/99	LEVIN		Y	
-		QM12/0622	コ	E	XAMINER
ILYA ZBOROV	JSKV	WH1270022		OROPEZ	A.F
6 SCHOOLHOU				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIX HILLS I	NY 11746			3762	L
				DAIL MAILES.	06/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/308,913	LEVIN, YAKOV I.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frances P. Oropeza	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 May 1999</u> .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matte nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	5 1 ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		plication No
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	e priority documents have been re	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)		
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ul>	.948) 19) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3762

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

1. Applicant is advised on how to arrange the content of the specification.

## **Content of Specification**

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96(c) and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

(g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.

- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (l) Sequence Listing: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. A suggested title is: Auditory Therapy System for Impacting the Nervous System of a Living Organism.
- 3. The drawings are referenced in the Applicant's specification on page 2, but no drawings were included in the English form of this application. Drawings from the Russian PCT application were used to examine this application, but the drawings with English annotations must be submitted. Correction is required.

Art Unit: 3762

#### Abstract

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because written in passive voice and the wording such as "may be" makes the abstract indefinite.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Page 5

Claims 1 and 2 are written in the passive voice making them unclear. In claim 1., for example "registration of" should be –registering--, "transformation and processing of" should be –- transforming and processing--, "with calculation of" should be –- and calculating--, ect.

Claim 2, as written, lack transitional phrases such as "consisting of" or "comprising", such that the scope of the claims is indeterminate. For purposes of this response, an open-ended transitional phrase is assumed to be included in the wording of the applicant's claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Saperston (US 5267942). Saperston discloses a method to influence physiological processes though physiological stimuli. The fundamental brain wave (EEG) of a patient is defined using a Fourier transform, and the tone, by itself or in combination with music (column 7, lines 56-68), is used to effectively influence the patient's physiological responses (column 3, lines 31-34).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monroe (US 5356368) in view of Saperston (US 5267942). Monroe discloses a method for inducing desired states of consciousness, specifically using an EEG waveform to create a binaural beat to treat sleeping disorders (column 2, line 59 – column 40). Monroe discloses the claimed invention except for the use of a Fourier transform to optimize the isolation of the brain wave. Saperston discloses a method to influence physiological processes though physiological stimuli and teaches that it is known to define the fundamental brain wave (EEG) of a patient using a Fourier transform (column 7, lines 56-68). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method for inducing desired states of consciousness as taught by Monroe, with the use of the Fourier transform as taught by Saperston. One have ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification the method for inducing desired states of consciousness to gain a more precise representation of the fundamental brain wave.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communication and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3762

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

> JEFFREY FI. JASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > 32,83

4101